DEC 1 6 2003

FFICIAI

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP 3579 Valley Centre Drive, San Diego, CA 92130

telephone 858-720-2500 / facsimile 858-720-2555 / www.paul nastings.com

Paul Hastings

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

facsimile:

telephone:

initials:

Diana L. Bush, Ph.D., Esq.

(858) 720-2555

(858) 720-2885

DLB4

client name: Metabasis Therapeutics, Inc.

dient matter

45198.00013.RCE

number:

date: December 16, 2003

pages (with cover):

0

to:

company/office:

facsimile:

telephone:

Commissioner for Patents

USPTO

703 872-9306

703 308-9806

P.O. Box 1450

from:

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Group Art Unit '

1624

comments:

U. S. Patent Application No. 09/518,501

NOVEL PHÔŜPHORUS-CONTAINING PRODRUGS

Applicant:

Erion et al.

Filing Date:

March 3, 2000

Our Ref:

45198.00013.RCE

Attached hereto are the following documents for filing in the above patent application:

- 1. Fee Transmittal Sheet
- Petition for Extension of Time & Response
- 3. Interview Summary
- Executed Declaration of John C. Chabala Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.132

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with anything referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being facs imile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Kimila Carraway

Name of Person Transmitting Paper

December 16, 2003

Date of Transmission

Minnila | MINNIL

If you do not receive all pages, please call immediately Facsimile Center: (858) 720-2903

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is no the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are herety notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in entirely us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postar Service. Thank you.

PTOX;8/17 (11-00) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032 rademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	U.S. Faterit and Haderian Onice, C.S. DEFAIN	INTERNITOR SCHOOL
	no persons are regulred to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a v	alid OMB control number
Linder the Panerwork Reduction Act of 1995. I	uo delagus ale legnited lo legbond to a collection of milinitation chiesa it diabiesa a	GIIN AMID CONTRACTOR

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2003

Patent fees ere subject to annual revision. (\$)750.00

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Complete if Known		
Application Number	09/518,501	
Filing Date	December 16, 2003	
First Named Inventor	Erion et al.	
Examiner Name	McKenzie, T.	
Group Art Unit	1624	
Attorney Docket No.	45198.00013.RCE	

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
	3. AD	DITION	AL FEI			
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and cradit any overpayments to: Deposit D		Large Entity Fee	Fee	Small Entity Fee	Fee Description F	Fee Paid
Account 50-2613	Code	(\$)	Code 205	(\$) 65	Surcharge – late filing fee or oath	
Number	105 127	130 50	208	25	Surcharge – late provisional filing fee or	
Deposit Account	127	30	221	2.5	cover sheet	
Name	139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.18 and 1.17	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Applicant daims small entity status, See 37 CFR 1.27		920"	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) 2. ⊠ indicated below:		1,840°	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
<u>-</u>		110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
FEE CALCULATION	116	390	216	195	Extension for reply within second month	
1. BASIC FILING FEE	117	890	217	445		475.00
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month	
Code (\$) Code (\$)	128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee	119	310	218	155	Notice of Appeal	
1002 330 2002 165 Design filing fee	120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	——
1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee	121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing	
1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filling fee	135	1,510	138	1.510	Potition to institute a public use proceeding	
	140	110	240	55 620	Petition to revive - unavoidable Petition to revive - unintentional	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) D		1,240	241		Utility issue fee (or raissue)	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	142	1,240 440	242 243	620 220	Design Issue fee	
Fee from Extra Claims below Fee Paid	143	600	243	300	Plant issue fee	
Total Claims 1 -20*** = X = 0	144 122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Independent	123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Claims	126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Multiple Dependent 0 Large Entity Small Entity	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	148	710	246	355	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	149	710	249	355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
1203 280 2203 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not	179	710	279	355	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
paid 1204 84 2204 42 ** Reissue Independent claims	169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent		fee (spec ced by 8)		ng Fee l	Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (S) 475	5.00
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0						
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above						

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (if	epplicable)	
Name (Print/Type)	Diana L. Bush, Ph.D., Esq.	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 51,109	Telephone	(858) 720-2500
Signature	Nicora h. Buch		Date	12/16/03

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

45198.00013.RCE Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 1624

Applicants: Erion et al.

Serial No.: 09/518,501

Filed: March 3, 2000 Examiner: McKenzie, T.

Title: NOVEL PHOSPHORUS-CONTAINING

PRODRUGS

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On September 10, 2003, Examiner McKenzie and his supervisor Mukund Shah conducted an in-person interview with Applicants' attorney Jessica Wolff and Dr. Mark Erion with regard to Application No. 09/518,501. Also present telephonically were Applicants' attorney Diana Bush and Applicants' patent agent, Cynthia O'Donohue. Application No. 09/747,182 was also discussed.

In regard to this Application, the following topics were discussed:

1. Examiner's indefiniteness, lack of written description, and non-enablement rejections based on the term "M is selected from the group that attached to PO₃²⁻, P₂O₆³⁻, P₃O₃⁴⁻ or P(O)(NHR⁶)O⁻ is a biologically active agent but is not an FBPase inhibitor" in claims 1-3, 7, 9-18, 20-46, 48-53, 150-157, 165-166, and 171-173.

Applicants described the technology of the invention, data, and how the invention is applied. The Examiners expressed concerns regarding PTO policy on functional language in light of the *University of Rochester* Cox 2 inhibitor district court decision.

	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. §1.8)			
I hereby certify that this paper (along with anything referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.				
	Kimila Carraway			
	Name of Person Transmitting Paper			
December 16, 2003	<u> Limela Coursellelle</u>			
Date of Transmission	Signature of Person Transmitting Paper			

45198,00013.RCE Patent

Applicants distinguished that case and emphasized the amount of data taught in the current application. Examiner McKenzie expressed that he has no doubt that there is allowable subject matter, but that it is more an issue of the breadth of the claims. Examiner Shah indicated that if the Applicants submitted evidence and arguments that the claims do meet the requirements of definiteness, written description, and enablement, he will further evaluate the functionality issue and ascertain if quality control would consider these claims as meeting the functional guidelines based on the evidence and arguments presented.

Examiner's indefiniteness, lack of written description, and non-enablement rejections for the term "prodrug" in claims 1-18, 20-46, 48-57, 150-157, and 165.

Applicants discussed that many issued patents use this language in the claims. Examiner Shah indicated that this language does meet the requirements for definiteness, written description, and enablement.

3. Examiner's indefiniteness rejection of claims 155-157 and 166 based on the term "oxidizing agent."

Examiner Shah indicated that this language does meet the requirement of definiteness.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 12/6/03

By:

Reg. No. 51,109

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, LLP 3579 Valley Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92130

Phone: (858) 720-2500

Fax: (858) 720-2555